UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SHAWN EVANS,

Plaintiff.

٧.

ORDER 12-CV-365

OFFICER P. MURPHY, et al.,

Defendants.

The above-referenced case was referred to Magistrate Judge Hugh B. Scott, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B). Plaintiff filed a motion for a temporary restraining order (Dkt. No. 49) and defendants filed a motion for summary judgment (Dkt. No. 56). On February 11, 2014, Magistrate Judge Scott issued a Report and Recommendation recommending the following: (1) that plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order be denied; (2) that defendants' motion for summary judgment with respect to plaintiff's December 31, 2011 claims be granted; (3) that defendants' motion for summary judgment with respect to plaintiff's January 13, 2012 claims against defendants Rozell and Reppert be denied; (4) that defendants' motion for summary judgment with respect to plaintiff's failure to intervene claims be granted; (5) that defendants' motion for summary judgment with respect to plaintiff's retaliation claims be granted; and (6) that defendants' motion for summary judgment based upon qualified immunity be denied. (Dkt. No. 75)

Case 1:12-cv-00365-LJV-HBS Document 78 Filed 03/13/14 Page 2 of 3

Plaintiff filed objections to the Report and Recommendation on February

26, 2014. (Dkt. No. 76) Defendants filed a response on March 5, 2014 (Dkt. No.

77), and the Court considered the matter submitted without oral argument.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1), this Court must make a *de novo* 

determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which

objections have been made. Upon de novo review, and after reviewing the

submissions of the parties, the Court adopts the proposed findings of the Report

and Recommendation.

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth in Magistrate Judge Scott's Report

and Recommendation, plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order is

denied, and defendants' motion for summary judgment is granted in part and

denied in part, as set forth in detail in the Report and Recommendation.

The matter is referred back to Magistrate Judge Scott for further

proceedings.

SO ORDERED.

Richard J. Arcara

HONORABLE RICHARD J. ARCARA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: March 12, 2014

2